

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM
TERRITORY OF GUAM

JULIE BABAUTA SANTOS, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
FELIX A. CAMACHO, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Civil Case No. 04-00006

ORDER

CHARMAINE R. TORRES, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
GOVERNMENT OF GUAM, *et al.*,
Defendants.

Civil Case No. 04-00038

MARY GRACE SIMPAO, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
GOVERNMENT OF GUAM,
Defendant.
vs.
FELIX P. CAMACHO, Governor of Guam,
Intervenor-Defendant

Civil Case No. 04-00049

1 Before the Court are a number of recent filings by the parties.¹ However, the Court will
2 take no action on them pending the Ninth Circuit's ruling on the issues presented in the
3 interlocutory appeal.² There is no sense in proceeding piecemeal with the litigation until the
4 issues presented are conclusively determined.³ In light of the stay the parties need not file
5 responsive pleadings to the filings. The Court will set a briefing schedule thereafter as
6 appropriate.

7 **SO ORDERED** this 8th day of June, 2006.

8
9
10 /s/ Ricardo S. Martinez
11 **RICARDO S. MARTINEZ***
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 ¹Joint Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement Agreement, Docket No.
21 320; Motion for Leave Joint Petition for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, and/or for Recovery of
22 Earned Income Tax Credits, or in the Alternative for a Writ of Mandamus, Docket No. 326; Stipulation
23 of Parties Pursuant to Section II(a)(I) of the May 26, 2006 Class Action Settlement Agreement, Docket
24 No. 327; Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs Pursuant to Section II(a)(iv) of the May 26, 2006 Class
Action Settlement Agreement, Docket No. 328; Joint Motion of the Parties for Conditional Certification
of the EIC Class for Settlement Purposes, Docket No. 329; Notice of Dismissal of Claims Against
Attorney General Douglas B. Moylan, Docket No. 330; Amended Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs
Pursuant to Section II(a)(iv) of the May 26, 2006 Class Action Settlement, Docket No. 331.

25 ²This Order assumes that the Ninth Circuit will grant interlocutory appeal. However, should the
26 Ninth Circuit deny interlocutory appeal, these matters will be revisited.

27 ³Had all the parties agreed on a global settlement, including the Attorney General, the Court
28 could have considered the matters. However, under the circumstances, the Court cannot proceed with
any settlement at this time.

*The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez, United States District Judge for the Western District of
Washington, by designation.